

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/978,420	10/15/2001	Kuo-Yu Chou	67,200-409	67,200-409 5300	
7590 10/08/2003			EXAMINER		
TUNG & ASSOCIATES 838 W. Long Lake Road, Suite 120			RICHARDS	RICHARDS, N DREW	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	2815		2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/978,420	CHOU ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	N. Drew Richards	2815	L		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov r sheet with the d	correspond nc add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and avoid abandonment of this application.	cation. A proper report for places the application of the contraction	oly to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The di- have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the dist	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	amendment t		
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-6 and 13.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exan	niner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·			
10. Other:		GEORGE ECI PRIMARY EXA	/ KERT MINER		

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 4 009/978,420

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The combination of the fuse, bond pad, and alignment mark being formed simultaneously as presented in claim 3 is a new limitation that requires further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that bond pad 112b of Wang et al. does not read on the alignment mark of claim 4. Applicant has argued that an alignment mark within a substrate is employed forf aligning a mask with respect to the substrate incident to use of an alignment light beam. First, the alignemnt mark disclosed in the present invention is not formed in the substrate but on the top layer above the substrate. Second, the bond pad 112b of Wang has the passivation layer 118 aligned with it, thus it has been used in aligning and reads on an alignment mark. The term alignment mark has been given the broadest reasonable interpretation, and under a reasonable interpretation the bond pad 112b of Wang is an alignment mark. Claim 4 merely recites an alignment mark without reciting any structure of the alignment mark. Thus, the argument that alignment marks have different shaped than bond pads has no bearing on the invention as claimed.